

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

ROGER HULL,

Plaintiff,

v.

GREG COX, et al.,

Defendants.

3:11-cv-00535-LRH-VPC

ORDER

Before this Court is the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (#36<sup>1</sup>) entered on June 6, 2012, recommending granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (#15) filed on February 21, 2012, and denying various other motions before the court. Plaintiff filed his Opposition to Magistrate's Report and Recommendation (#37) on June 20, 2012. Defendants filed their Opposition to Plaintiff's Objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#38) on July 3, 2012, and Plaintiff then filed his Reply in Support of His Objection to the Magistrates Judges Report and Recommendation (#39) on July 11, 2012. This matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 1B 1-4 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections of

---

<sup>1</sup>Refers to court's docket number.

1 the Plaintiff, the Defendants' opposition, and the Plaintiff's Reply, the pleadings and memoranda of  
2 the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B) and Local Rule  
3 IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#36) entered  
4 on June 6, 2012, should be adopted and accepted.

5 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#36)  
6 entered on June 6, 2012, is adopted and accepted, and Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (#15) is  
7 GRANTED and Counts I, II and III are DISMISSED without prejudice.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction (#17) is  
9 DENIED as moot.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Stay (#20) is DENIED as moot.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Strike (#21) is DENIED.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions (#22) is DENIED.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for  
14 Preliminary Injunction (#34) is DENIED as moot.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 DATED this 27th day of July, 2012.

17  
18 

19  
20 

---

LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26